

**ANALYZING RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN
ORGANIZATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS TOWARD BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE
IN MULTINATIONAL CORPORATION IN MALAYSIA WITH MODERATING
EFFECT OF ENTERPRISE RESOURCE PLANNING**

Nasir Abdul Jalil
nasir_abdul@oyagsb.uum.edu.my

Mustakim Melan
mustakim@uum.edu.my

Fakulti Pengurusan Teknologi & Logistics
Universiti Utara Malaysia

Abstract

Lately, advertise energy toward business intelligence is overpowering (Evelson, 2012; Gartner, 2013). This is apparent with expanding parades of Business Intelligence providers and also raising number of associations, particularly vast ventures that either have received or are genuinely considering executing Business Intelligence (Guarda et al., 2013). Such energy can be ascribed to their acknowledgment of the estimation of Business Intelligence. Because of the developing need to break down a lot of complex data, numerous ventures' conventional choice bolster application devices have gotten to be unequipped for successfully taking care of the interest for opportune and quality data.

The start of 21st century has seen a solid increment of rivalry among the organizations. This is because of Globalization innovation misuse (Allen, 2010) or more all expanded rivalry (Qayyum, Sharif, Ahmad, Khan, and Rehman, 2012; Kumpikaite and Sakalas, 2011). It is in this way imperative to look for ways and intends to consolidate, store, and dissect the gigantic measure of information and to give questions and complex reports and focused data essentially to managers. As information system has turned into an appealing method for enhancing these procedures, associations have executed a few techniques to enhance via Business Intelligence and to improve efficiencies using information technology (IT).

Keywords: Business Intelligence, Quality Information, decision support system,

Introduction

Numerous IT devices serve as the data warehouse, supportive helpful entree to data, additionally posturing challenges for getting compelling data from voluminous information. With a lot of complex information comprising of organized information and semi-organized information, it has been proposed that Business Intelligence¹ is a suitable apparatus to manage this (Moss 2003; Rudin and Cressy 2003). Despite the fact that associations are getting to be mindful of the estimation of Business Intelligence, numerous still have not increased full advantages from their speculation (Heyns et al., 2009).

Business Intelligence innovation assumes an essential part in numerous organizations utilizing a lot of information. Foster, Hawking and Stein (2005) brought up that Business Intelligence is regularly found in associations utilizing endeavour wide applications, for example, enterprise resource planning (ERP²) systems. It is recommended that Business Intelligence innovation gives data (knowledge) to improve basic leadership in an Enterprise Resource Planning association (Hawking, Foster and Stein 2008).

Enterprise Resource Planning comprises of three words: Enterprise, Resource and Planning. Enterprise Resource Planning systems incorporate the mix of information and applications, substitution of old, divided legacy systems and speedier arrangement of bundled systems as contrasted and in-house advancement and reception of best practices in hierarchical procedures (Beheshti, 2006). As business intelligence gives an option expository arrangement that expands choice bolster a Business Intelligence, it helps managers to distinguish connections between information possessions so possibly cultivating comprehension and providing upper hand.

Business Intelligence is a noteworthy segment of much organization's IT portfolio; nevertheless the territory is essentially under inquired about (Arnott and Pervan 2005). In spite of the expanding significance of the utilization of Business Intelligence as a choice bolster innovation in great data use business associations, the components that influence the reception of Business Intelligence and choice bolster applications (BIDSA) by business association have not yet been completely explored (Arnott and Pervan 2005; Foster, Hawking and Stein 2005; Gibson and Arnott 2003; Hawking, Foster and Stein 2008). In over a decade, there has been a considerable measure of clatter over big business asset arranging. ERP has pulled in expanding consideration from both specialists crosswise over industry, and scientists. Boonstra (2006), Chou et al. (2013), Hau et al. (2010), Matende et al. (2013), Rao

¹ Business Intelligence (BI) can be explain as an arrangement of procedures and devices for the securing and change of crude information into important and valuable data for business analysis purposes

² Enterprise resource planning (ERP) is a category of business-management software-typically a suite of integrated applications—that an organization can use to collect, store, manage and interpret data from many business activities

(2000a, b) and Rajnoha et al. (2014) characterize ERP as a product arrangement which incorporates the different utilitarian circles and streamlines business forms in an association.

Organizational Characteristics

Association attributes including sustenance are accepted to impact development in associations (Grover and Golslar 1993). These qualities have additionally been utilized as key elements of mechanical advancement selection. Past studies have explored a scope of authoritative attributes. For instance, Doll (1985) called attention to that top administration is in charge of giving general direction of Information System exercises. Lee and Shim (2007) additionally recommended that apparent advantages are decidedly identified with innovation selection. Gatignon and Roberston (1989) and (Rogers 1995) demonstrated that the support by top supervisors would likewise influence the selection of new innovation.

A study by Fichman (1992) proposed that the capacity of absorptive limit of associations to embrace new advancements is important. For instance, Ramamurthy, Sen and Sinha (2008) found that authoritative absorptive limit is a vital component in embracing information warehousing innovation. Likewise, it is useful to receive Information Technology simply after administrators comprehend inward needs. Another study by Shatat, A. S. (2012) normal for the association will empower organizations to accomplish ideal utilization of Enterprise Resource Planning systems after the execution stage and maintain a strategic distance from systems disappointment and accomplish better SCM³ execution. The study contributes toward innovation dispersion between organizations through decreasing the probe Business Intelligence of Enterprise Resource Planning systems disappointment, and hence acquaints Enterprise Resource Planning systems with different organizations in Malaysia.

Top Management Supports

Top administration support has been recognized as a key indicator in the reception and usage of IT. A few past studies have demonstrated that top administration support is a huge indicator of innovation reception and prompts more effective IT use in numerous organizations (Caldeira and Ward 2002; Grover 1993; Kumar, Maheshwari and Kumar 2002; Seyal and Rahman 2003; Tan and Teo 2000; Thong 1999).

It is vital to make a steady atmosphere and sufficient assets for the reception of new innovation (Premkumar and Roberts 1999). Top administration would have the capacity to recognize business open doors for the exploitation of IT and their dynamic organization and support would give suitable vital vision and course for the selection of new developments (Thong and Yap 1995). Besides, this trademark would likewise send indications about the significance of the development and succeed in defeating authoritative imperiousness to acknowledge the information system.

³ Supply chain management (SCM), the management of the flow of goods and services, involves the movement and storage of raw materials, of work-in-process inventory, and of finished goods from point of origin to point of consumption.

Organizational Size

Some research in organizational innovation adoption has indicated that there is no substantial association between business size and organizational innovation adoption (Mistillis, Agnes & Presbury 2004; Sahadev & Islam 2005; Seyal & Rahman 2003). On the other point, a number of previous readings have shown that business size has swayed the use of technology (Buonanno et al. 2005; Dholakia & Kshetri 2004; Rogers 1983; Thong 1999). Studies proposed that as the extent of a business increment, so will the probability of data innovation being available inside the association (ABS 2000). A research by Gibson and Arnott (2003) described that business scale is as one of the elements that affect the appropriation of BIDS in little organizations.

It has been believed to be an appropriation variable facilitator (Damanpour 1992) and has been utilized as a part of IT reception since scientists accept bigger firms has a tendency to have bottomless assets, be more equipped for bearing dangers, and have more energy to urge exchanging accomplices to embrace IT (Zhu, Xu and Dedrick 2003). IT modernity (Pare' and Raymont 1991) catches not just the level of technological skill inside the organization, additionally the level of administration comprehension of and support for utilizing IT to accomplish hierarchical goals. To comprehend its worth before selection and later amid usage requires a various arrangement of aptitudes and ability. Tan and Teo (2000) reported that innovation foundation is the key determinant, which movements to authoritative capacities regarding coordinating to influence existing information systems and databases, and is altogether connected with hierarchical advancement selection.

Absorptive Capacity

Absorptive limit is the capacity of key hierarchical individuals to use accessible or previous learning (Griffith, Redding and Reenen 2003). It encourages a kind of response procedure of the information with their psyche (Alavi and Leidner 2001). This absorptive limit of associations demonstrates a capacity to perceive the estimation of outside and inward data, and to absorb and apply it successfully to acknowledge economic advantages. Sambamurthy and Zmud (1999) have recommended should be basic to associations' ingenuity.

Applied to the IT area, organizations' absorptive limit mirrors the ability to assimilate data identifying with proper IT advancements through workers' individual learning storehouses, subjective structures, and procedures for supporting operational or key exercises, and to improve firm execution (Boynton, Zmud and Jacobs 1994). As per Nonaka (1991, 1994), research on new item advancement and administration is steady of the idea that strong limit is essential for quick development and adaptable hierarchical reaction to changing economic situations. Accordingly, a noteworthy development like BIDS requires a consciousness of what it can give or empower, and a comprehension of how to adventure its

potential inside an authoritative setting. Rogers (1995) alluded to this likewise as the installed setting (Tornatzky and Fleischer 1990).

The appropriation of BIDSAs is far-fetched unless key clients can innovatively recognize remarkable routes through which new learning can be separated by incorporating information from different useful territories inside the firm (Nambisan, Agarwal and Tanniru 1999). However, such inventive speculation might be impossible unless sufficient information exists inside the firm. A study by Fichman (1992) noticed that the capacity to embrace is basic as for advancements; such capacity has been observed to be a key in selection of open frameworks (Chau and Tam 1997). It is trusted that association absorptive limit is a solid indicator of an association's capacity to reception developments (Cohen and Levinthal 1990). Along these lines, this study accepts that the absorptive limit in the selection stage is emphatically identified with the appropriation of BIDSAs.

Internal Need

Past studies (Premkumar and Ramamurthy 1995; Zmud 1984) demonstrated that the inner need in an association is a vital variable influencing the reception of data innovation. The reception of BIDSAs results from inside requirements, for example, the requests for requiring better data from single information source quicker (Watson and Haley 1997). Grover and Goslar's examination (Grover and Goslar 1993) recommended that the interior needs can be delegated the requirements for better reaction time, enhancing administration quality, diminishing expenses, giving right data, and raising upper hand.

It is useful to embrace BIDSAs, for example, information distribution centre innovation simply after authoritative chiefs totally comprehend the inward needs to require such an appropriation. Along these lines, these studies expect that inside requirements in ERP organizations will drive the choice for an appropriation of BIDSAs.

Relationship between Organizational Characteristics and Business Intelligence

Little (1970) observed that the advancement of advances was not the primary issue but rather it was having directors ready to join the fitting utilization of advances in their association. An excessive number of managers do not comprehend the relationship among advancements and the association's main goal, methodologies, targets, and their effect on operations. In associations with an abnormal state of development in utilizing innovation there is a much clearer comprehension of these connections.

Jiang et al. (2000) reported that client resistance was one reason for data systems disappointment and Davenport (1998) stressed the hierarchical effect of an Enterprise Resource Planning which forces its own particular rationale on the association's methodology and society. Associations that are actualizing Enterprise Resource Planning need to adapt to a large group of various partners inside and outside the association. In this manner, associations need to grow great associations with partners to improve fast correspondence and trades (Scott and Lane, 2000; Friedman and Miles, 2002).

For Enterprise Resource Planning execution top administration support (i.e. the Business Intelligence of senior managers to give the fundamental assets and power or power for undertaking achievement) has been reliably recognized as the most essential achievement variable (Welti, 1999). Venture supervisors must get endorsement from top administration and the undertaking group ought to be cross-useful and incorporate the best individuals in the association (Laughlin, 1999; Wee, 2000). Effective Enterprise Resource Planning execution calls for solid administration, an unmistakable arrangement, and a steady watch on the financial plan.

Enterprise Resource Planning and Business Intelligence

It is suggested that every single Business Intelligent association have effectively executed and completed Enterprise Resource Planning however the Enterprise Resource Planning business sector is as yet demonstrating dynamic development (Pamatatau 2002). More than half of the vast undertakings in the U.S., Europe, and the Asia Pacific district including Malaysia as of now have Enterprise Resource Planning systems set up, and more medium-sized endeavours are embarking on Enterprise Resource Planning system usage (Forrester Research 2005). With more than 60% of the Fortune 1000 organizations' infiltrated, major Enterprise Resource Planning sellers are expanding focusing on little and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) to create new clients Business Intelligence, (Sharma and Godla 1999; Piturro 1999).

Enterprise Resource Planning systems have generally been utilized by numerous businesses, for example, producing, retailing, aviation, and government parts while they have as of late been executed in the telecommunications industries, education, retail, finance, insurance and (Chung and Snyder 2000). A report from Stedman (1999) by Computer Economics Inc. expressed that 76% of manufacturers, 35% of protection and social insurance organizations, and 24% of government segments as of now have an Enterprise Resource Planning system or are currently establishment.

These systems at present get to be critical foundation for many organizations. Proposed by Chen (2001), associations picked and deploy Enterprise Resource Planning system for an assortment of advantages and key reasons. In addition, based on previous research, Enterprise Resource Planning serves various useful ranges in an incorporated manner, endeavouring to robotize operations from the use of Business Intelligence, SCM, CRM, financial related and cost of human resources, accounting, and almost any other data oriented management process (Newell et al. 2003; Ng and Ip 2003; Ragowsky and Somers 2002). Along these lines, it is suggested that Enterprise Resource Planning is presently viewed as the standard system whereupon numerous undertakings are working their business (Lengnick-Hall, Lengnick-Hall and Abdinnour-Helm 2004). ETL⁴ and information warehousing (e.g. SAP's information warehouse) have a vital part in business intelligence (McDonald et al. 2002; META Group 2004).

⁴ Extract, Transform, Load (ETL) refers to a process in database usage and especially in data warehousing

System Application Product (SAP) is characterized as a "business programming as involving venture asset arranging and related applications, for example, inventory network administration, client relationship administration, item life-cycle administration, and supplier relationship administration" (SAP 2008). Holsapple and Whinston (1996) reported that one key attributes of any conclusion support system including a data warehouse is to envelop a segment of the decision makers, and to accomplish different assignments and conceivable legitimate assumptions for different circumstances.

For instance, SAP data warehouse tools is known as "Business Information Warehouse"⁵ (BW). Moreover, reporting instruments accessible in ERP are regularly thought to be restricted for decision-making by numerous adopters. As indicated by Granlund and Malmi (2002), Enterprise Resource Planning has the Business Intelligence to create standard reports; however numerous organizations requirement non-standard reports for particular examples. Numerous specialists analysed the view of choice bolster attributes by ERP viewpoints in different circumstances. For instance, Holsapple and Sena (2003) inspected the degree to which 16 choice bolster qualities are shown by Enterprise Resource Planning.

The outcomes show that adopters see choice bolster attributes displayed to a moderate degree by Enterprise Resource Planning, and that those that showed the best degree had the arrangement of a vault of learning for taking care of issues and components to encourage correspondence inside an association. As a contextual analysis of Earthgrains, Davenport (2000) portrayed a few components of Enterprise Resource Planning notwithstanding those used to capture, create, and store transactional information. Data communications, data access, data analysis, and presentation, assessing data context, synthesizing data from other sources, and assessing completeness of data of information have been recommended. To fulfil clients' requests, Enterprise Resource Planning has developed from a transitional centre to a more investigative, key centre, and to join Business Intelligence usefulness.

Managers use data specifically to defend their choice procedures or like to utilize information and decision-making forms with which they feel good (Pfeffer 1992). In spite of the fact that Enterprise Resource Planning system settle on data accessible for managerial decision- making, the use of such data is reliant upon specific administrative inclinations and conditions. Managers may miss outcomes without utilizing a decision-making model to cover certainty with expected in Business Intelligence implanted in the systems. Accordingly, there is confirmation that Enterprise Resource Planning necessities better choice bolster highlights that bolster decision-making and the utilization of Business Intelligence is a vital computer-based based data system to numerous organizations (Enterprise Resource Planning user organizations) in unravelling the execution of poor decision-making.

The Needs for Business Intelligence

⁵ Business Information Warehouse (BW) is a business intelligence software product from the German company SAP. It uses database resources to provide assistance with business intelligence analysis and decision making.

A large number of organizations globally have executed ERP (Rajagopal 2002). A survey of the writing recommended that ERP has been utilized by little, medium, and expansive undertakings as well as government offices as examination has concentrated on the upper hand of ERP and the significance of considering a plan of action and center abilities when settling on choices for or against ERP usage (Davenport 1998; Lengnick-Hall, Lengnick-Hall and Abdinnour-Helm 2004; Prahalad and Krishan 1999). Earlier researchers have reported that ERP permits an organization to deal with its business information investigation better and give higher quality information to basic leadership (Fan, Stalert and Whinston 2000; Gattiker and Goodhue 2005; Lengnick-Hall, Lengnick-Hall and Abdinnour-Helm 2004; Zheng, Yen and Tarn 2000). Numerous organizations assume that undertaking asset arranging (ERP) can be utilized to bolster computerizing business development, auspicious access to administration data, besides helping with basic.

Al-Mudimigh, Zairi & Al-Mashari (2001) define an ERP as “a packaged enterprise-wide information system that integrates all necessary business functions (e.g. product planning, purchasing, inventory control, sales) into a single system with a shared database”. From earlier research, Holsapple and Sena (2003) proposed that from their examination, ERP adopters see that choice bolster attributes are displayed to a "moderate" degree by their frameworks. Most endeavors having actualized ERP are executing, arranging or considering different expansions to the framework, however an observational study by Olhager and Selldin (2003) demonstrated that a larger part of ventures were not notwithstanding considering business insight capacities. This implies most undertaking utilizing ERP frameworks have customarily been worried with dealing with the preparing of business exchanges instead of business knowledge utilizing its parts. Likewise, Holsapple and Sena (2005) stated that no study has inspected ERP working as a kind of hierarchical choice emotionally supportive networks (ODSS).

Carter et al. (1992) characterize an ODSS as a typical arrangement of devices utilized by various members from more than one hierarchical unit who make interconnected, however self-governing choices. It is inferred that there is requirement for choice of decision-support in ERP, and that choice bolster attributes can be shown by ERP executions, however that association does not understand the choice bolster profits by ERP. As ERP has been acknowledged, organizations examine parkways for accomplishing key worth from the extra usefulness accessible in the systems as found in the model by Holland and Light (2001). It can be recommended that the need of information conveyance over the firm limit is widely expanding and scientific capacities are no more ready to give this inside the association. The model concentrations on firms moving from centre ERP exchanges to enterprise application integration (EAI) to coordinate and team up with business accomplices. This suggests expanded dependence on Business Intelligence arrangements as ERP with Business Intelligence system (e.g. information stockroom) have gotten to be significant players in the business insight market (Chou, Tripuramallu and Chou 2005; Foster, Hawking and Stein 2005).

Conclusion

The Business Intelligence field is worried with the improvement and utilization of Business Intelligence systems and procedures inside associations. Business Intelligence is utilized as a part of authoritative basic leadership, since it is not the procedure or advancements that are utilized by chiefs yet rather their yield. Basic leadership is a centre action of decision-making life. Poor or awful choices have gigantic outcomes for associations, ordinarily notwithstanding undermining their presence. In any case, it was not until Simon (1947) composed his book *Administrative Behaviour* that basic leadership was presented as a point of convergence for contemplating associations. Business Intelligent is seen as an augmentation of individual decision, works by selecting the option with the most elevated expected esteem once particular objectives have been characterized, and every one of the choices of accomplishing the objectives have been distinguished, and their results have been assessed. Further, organizational choices are made in on-going procedures of decision-making.

Reference

- ABS 2000, *Communications and information Technology: Business use of information technology*, Australian Bureau of Statistics, Canberra, Australia.
- Agostino, R 2004, *Business intelligence: solving the ERP overload*, viewed 15 October 2005, www.crystaldecisions.com/jump/crystal_cfo/pdf/crystal_cfo_project_voll.pdf.
- Allen, M. (2010). *Corporate universities 2010: globalization and greater sophistication*. *The Journal of International Management Studies*, 5(1), 48-50.
- Al-Mudimigh, A, Zairi, M & Al-Mashari, M 2001, 'ERP software implementation: an integrative framework', *European Journal of Information Systems*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 216-26.
- Arnott, D & Pervan, G 2005, 'A critical analysis of decision support systems research', *Journal of Information Technology*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 67-87.
- Beheshti, H. (2006), "What managers should know about ERP/ERP II", *Management Research News*, 29, (4), 184-193.
- Buonanno, G, Faverio, P, Pigni, F & Ravarini, A 2005, 'Factors affecting ERP system adoption: A comprehensive analysis between SMEs and large companies', *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 381-426.278
- Business Intelligencengi, P, Sharma, MK & Godla, J 1999, 'Critical issues affecting an ERP implementation', *information Systems Management*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 7-14.
- Boynton, AC, Zmud, RW & Jacobs, GC 1994, 'The influence of IT management practice on IT use in large organizations', *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 299-318.

- Caldeira, MM & Ward, JM 2002, 'Understanding the Successful Adoption and Use of IS/IT in SMEs: An Explanation from Portuguese Manufacturing Industries', *Information Systems Journal*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 121-52.
- Chau, PYK & Tam, KY 1997, 'Factors affecting the adoption of open systems: an exploratory study', *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 1-24.
- Chung, SH & Snyder, CA 2000, 'ERP adoption: A technological evolution approach', *International Journal of Agile Management Systems*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 24-32.
- Chou, DC, Tripuramallu, HB & Chou, AY 2005, 'BI and ERP integration ', *Information Management & Computer Security*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 340-9.
- Cohen, WM & Levinthal, DA 1990, 'Absorptive capacity: a new perspective on learning and innovation', *Administrative Science Quarterly*, vol. 35, pp. 128-52.
- Damanpour, F 1991, 'Organizational innovation: a meta-analysis of effects of determinants and moderators', *Journal of Business Research*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 555-90.
- Davenport, T, De Long, D & Beers, M 1998, 'Successful knowledge management projects', *Sloan Management Review*, vol. 39, pp. 43-57.
- Davenport, TH 1998, 'Putting the enterprise into the enterprise system', *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 76, no. 4, pp. 121-31.
- Doll, WJ 1985, 'Avenues for top management involvement in successful MIS development', *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 17-35.
- Fan, M, Stalert, J & Whinston, A 2000, 'The adoption and design methodologies of component-based enterprise system', *European Journal of Information Systems*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 25-35.
- Fichman, RG 1992, 'Information technology diffusion: a review of empirical research', paper presented to Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Information Systems, December 1992.
- Forrester Research 2005, Market Overview of ERP Applications - The Technology and Industry *Battle Heats Up*, viewed 24 June 2007, <www.foresterresearch.com/on>.
- Foster, S, Hawking, P & Stein, A 2005, 'Business Intelligence Solution Evolution: Adoption and Use', *Business Intelligence* vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 44-53.
- Friedman, A. L. and S. Miles "Developing Stakeholder Theory," *Journal of Management Studies*, Vol. 39, No. 1: 1- 21, January 2002.
- Gar-On Yeh, A, Kersten, GE & Mikolajuk, Z 1999, *Decision support systems for sustainable development: a resource book of methods and applications*, Springer London, Limited, United Kingdom.

- Gartner, 2013, Gartner says worldwide business intelligence software revenue to grow 7 % in 2013 [Online]. Available at: <http://www.gartner.com/newsroom/id/2340216> [Accessed: 1 Jun 2016].
- Gartner 2003, Predicts 2004: Data Warehousing and Business Intelligence, viewed 5 July 2004, <www4.gartner.com>.
- Gatignon, H & Roberston, TS 1989, 'Technology diffusion: An empirical test of competitive effects', *Journal of Marketing*, vol. 53, no. Jan, pp. 35-49
- Granlund, M & Malmi, T 2002, 'Moderate impact of ERP on management accounting: a log or permanent outcome?' *Management Accounting Research*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 299-321.
- Griffith, R, Redding, S & Reenen, JV 2003, 'R&D and absorptive capacity: Theory and empirical evidence', *The Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, vol. 105, no. 1, pp. 99-118.
- Grover, V 1993, 'An empirically derived model for the adoption of customer-based inter organisational systems', *Decision Sciences*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 603-40.
- Guarda, T., Santos, M., Pinto, F., Augusto, M. and Silva, C., 2013. Business intelligence as a competitive advantage for SMEs. *International Journal of Trade, Economics and Finance*, 4 (4), pp.187 – 190.
- Hawking, P, Foster, S & Stein, A 2008, 'The adoption and use of business intelligence solutions in Australia', *International Journal of Intelligent Systems Technologies and Application*, vol. 4, no. 3-4, pp. 327-40.290
- Heyns, H., Gallagher, S. and Trautwein, B., 2009, Does your business intelligence tell you the whole story [Online]. Available at: <http://www.kpmg.com/UK/en/IssuesAndInsights/ArticlesPublications>
- Holsapple, CW & Sena, MP 2003, 'The decision-support characteristics of ERP systems', *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 101-23.
- Holsapple, C & Whinston, A 1996, *Decision Support Systems -- A Knowledge Based Approach*, West Publishing Company, New York, NY.
- Jiang, J. J., Muhanna, W. A., and Klein, G. 2000. "User Resistance and Strategies for Promoting Acceptance Across System Types," *Information & Management* (37:1), pp. 25-36.
- Laughlin, S.P. (1999), "An ERP game plan", *Journal of Business Strategy*, 20(1), pp. 32-37
- Lee, CP & Shim, JP 2007, 'An exploratory study of radio frequency identification (RFID) adoption in the healthcare industry', *European Journal of information Systems*, vol. 16, pp. 712-24.

- Lengnick-Hall, C, Lengnick-Hall, M & Abdinnour-Helm, S 2004, 'The role of social and intellectual capital in achieving competitive advantage through enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems', *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 307-30.
- Little, JDC, 1970, 'Models and managers: the concept of a decision calculus', *Management Science*, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 466-85.
- Mistillis, N, Agnes, P & Presbury, R 2004, 'The strategic use of information and communication technology in marketing and distribution - a preliminary investigation of Sydney hotels', *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Management Accounting Research*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 42-55.
- McDonald, K, Wilmsmeier, A, Dixon, DC & Inmon, WH 2002, *Mastering SAP Business Information Warehouse*, Wiley Publishing, Canada.
- Moss, LT & Atre, S 2003, *Business intelligence roadmap: the complete project lifecycle for decision-support applications*, Addison Wesley Professional Boston.
- Nambisan, S, Agarwal, R & Tanniru, M 1999, 'Organizational Mechanisms for Enhancing User Innovation in Information Technology', *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 365-95.
- Negash, S & Gray, P 2003, 'Business intelligence', paper presented to The Ninth Americas Conference on Information Systems.
- Newell, S, Huang, J, Galliers, R & Pan, S 2003, 'Implementing enterprise resource planning and knowledge management systems in tandem: fostering efficiency and innovation complementarity', *Information and Organization Science*, vol. 13, pp. 25-52.
- Nonaka, I 1991, 'The knowledge creating company', *Harvard Business Review*, pp. 96-104.
- Olhager, J & Selldin, E 2003, 'Enterprise resource planning survey of Swedish manufacturing firms', *European Journal of Operational Research*, vol. 146, pp. 365-73.
- Qayyum, A., Sharif, M. T., Ahmad, A., Khan, M. S., & Rehman, K. -u. (2012). Training & development practices in National Bank of Pakistan. *Information Management and Business Review* , 8-17.
- Pamatatau, R 2002, 'IDC Tips Kiwi IT Growth', *NZ Infotech Weekly*, 06 May 2002, p. 1.
- Pare', G & Raymont, L 1991, 'Measurement of information technology sophistication in SMEs', paper presented to Proceedings of Administrative Sciences Association of Canada, Canada.
- Pfeffer, J 1992, *Managing with power: Politics and influence in organizations*, Harvard Business School Press, Cambridge, MA.

- Premkumar, G & Margaret, R 1999, 'Adoption of new information technologies in rural small businesses', *Omega*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 467-84.
- Rajagopal, P 2002, 'An innovation-diffusion view of implementation of enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems and development of a research model', *Information and Management*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 87-114.
- Sambamurthy, V & Zmud, RW 1999, 'Arrangements for Information Technology Governance: A Theory of Multiple Contingencies ', *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 261-90.
- SAP 2008, *SAP: Delivering IT-Powered Business innovation*, viewed 15 May 2009, <<http://www.sap.com/about/index.epx>>.
- Scott, S. M. and V. R. Lane "A Stakeholder Approach to Organizational Identity," *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 25, No. 1: 43-62, January 2000.
- Shapira, Z. 1997. *Organizational Decision-making*, New York: Cambridge University Press
- Shatat, A. S., & Udin, Z. M. (2012). The relationship between ERP system and supply chain management performance in Malaysian manufacturing companies. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 25(6),576–604.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/17410391211272847>
- Simon H.A. 1947. *Administrative Behavior*, London, Macmillan.
- Stedman, C 1998, 'Warehousing projects hard to finish', *Computerworld*, vol. 32, no. 12, p. 29.
- Thong, JYL & Yap, CS 1995, 'CEO characteristics, organisational characteristics, and information technology adoption in small business', *Omega International Journal of Management Sciences*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 429-42.
- Watson, H & Haley, BJ 1997, 'Data warehousing: a framework and survey of current practices', *Journal of Data Warehousing*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 10-7.
- Welti., 1999. *Successful SAP R/3 implementation: practical management of ERP projects*. Harlow, England: Addison Wesley
- Zhu, K, Xu, S & Dedrick, J 2003, 'Assessing Drivers of E-Business Value: Results of A Cross-Country Study', paper presented to Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on information Systems, Seattle.